

1 Les W. Robertson (SBN 140796)
2 Robertson & Associates, APC
3 655 West Broadway, Suite 1410
4 San Diego, CA 92101
5 Tel: (619) 531-7000
6 Fax: (619) 531-7007

7 Konrad Sherinian
8 The Law Offices of Konrad Sherinian, LLC
9 1755 Park Street, Suite 200
10 Naperville, IL 60563
11 Tel: (630) 318-2606
12 Fax: (630) 318-2605

13 David Lesht
14 The Law Offices of Eugene M. Cummings PC
15 1 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 4130
16 Chicago, IL 60606
17 Tel: (312) 984-0144
18 Fax: (312) 984-0146

19 Attorneys for Defendant GrubHub, Inc.

20
21
22
23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
24 **FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

25 AMERANTH, INC.,

26 Plaintiff,

27 vs.

28 GRUBHUB, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 3:12-cv-00739-JLS-NLS

**DEFENDANT GRUBHUB, INC.'S
ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
INFRINGEMENT**

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

Date: _____
Complaint Filed: March 27, 2012

29 Defendant GrubHub, Inc. ("GrubHub") hereby answers Plaintiff Ameranth, Inc.'s
30 ("Ameranth") First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement ("Complaint"). Like paragraph
31 numbers are used for reference purposes.

32 **PARTIES**

- 33 1. GrubHub admits that Ameranth is a Delaware corporation but is without current
34 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining

1 allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

2 2. GrubHub admits that is a Delaware corporation and that its principal place of business is
3 Chicago, Illinois. GrubHub denies the remaining allegations of the Paragraph 2 of the
4 Complaint.

5 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 3. GrubHub admits that the Complaint purports to be an action for patent infringement
7 arising under 28 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281-285.

8 4. GrubHub admits the allegations of paragraph 4 of the complaint.

9 5. GrubHub admits that it operates a restaurant service that can be used by residents of this
10 Judicial District. GrubHub denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 5 of the
11 Complaint.

12 6. GrubHub admits that the Court has personal jurisdiction over it. GrubHub denies the
13 remaining allegations of paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

14 7. GrubHub admits that Venue is proper in this Judicial District. GrubHub denies the
15 remaining allegations of paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

16 **BACKGROUND**

17 8. GrubHub is without current knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
18 truth of the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

19 9. GrubHub is without current knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
20 truth of the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

21 10. GrubHub is without current knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
22 truth of the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

23 11. GrubHub is without current knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
24 truth of the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

25 **RELATED CASE PREVIOUSLY FILED**

26 12. GrubHub admits that U.S. Pat. No. 8,146,077 is related to U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,384,850,
27 6,871,325, and 6,982,733. GrubHub denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 12 of
28 the Complaint.

13. GrubHub admits that Ameranth is the plaintiff and counter-defendant in Case No. 3:11-cv-018180-JLS-NLS, and that GrubHub is a defendant and counter-plaintiff in that case. GrubHub denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

COUNT I

14. GrubHub reiterates and incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1-13 above as if fully set forth herein.

15. GrubHub admits United States Patent No. 8,146,077 (the “‘077 patent”) indicates on its face that it issued on March 27, 2012, and that the ‘077 patent is entitled “Information Management and Synchronous Communications System with Menu Generation.” GrubHub admits that a copy of the ‘077 patent was attached to the Complaint. GrubHub denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. GrubHub is without current knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 16 of the Complaint, and therefore denies the same.

17. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

18. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

19. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

20. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

21. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

22. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

23. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

24. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

25. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

26. GrubHub denies the allegations of paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

27. GrubHub denies that Ameranth is entitled to any of the requested relief.

28. To the extent not expressly admitted above, GrubHub denies the factual allegations of the Complaint.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

29. GrubHub admits that Ameranth has demanded a jury trial.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

30. GrubHub does not infringe any valid and enforceable claim of the '077 patent.

31. For example, claims 1-12 of the '077 patent require "[a]n information management and real time synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting hospitality menus".

32. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an "ordering system/product/service" that includes "[a]n information management and real time synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting hospitality menus".

33. For example, claims 1-8 of the '077 patent require "menu configuration software enabled to generate a programmed handheld menu configuration from said master menu for wireless transmission to and programmed for display on a wireless handheld computing device, said programmed handheld menu configuration comprising at least menu categories, menu items and modifiers and wherein the menu configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the master menu".

34. GrubHub does not make, use, sell or offer for sale an "ordering system/product/service" that includes "menu configuration software enabled to generate a programmed handheld menu configuration from said master menu for wireless transmission to and programmed for display on a wireless handheld computing device, said programmed handheld menu configuration comprising at least menu categories, menu items and modifiers and wherein the menu configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least

1 the menu categories, menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the
2 menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu
3 configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the
4 master menu”.

5 35. For example, claims 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “a modifier menu capable of being
6 stored on said data storage device, and menu configuration software enabled to
7 automatically generate a programmed handheld menu configuration from said master
8 menu for display on a wireless handheld computing device, said programmed handheld
9 menu configuration comprising at least menu categories, menu items and modifiers and
10 wherein the menu configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed
11 handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure
12 defining at least the categories and items of the master menu and modifiers from the
13 modifier menu at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the
14 programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous
15 information comprising the master and modifier menus”.

16 36. GrubHub does not make, use, sell or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
17 that includes “a modifier menu capable of being stored on said data storage device, and
18 menu configuration software enabled to automatically generate a programmed handheld
19 menu configuration from said master menu for display on a wireless handheld computing
20 device, said programmed handheld menu configuration comprising at least menu
21 categories, menu items and modifiers and wherein the menu configuration software is
22 enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing
23 parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the categories and items
24 of the master menu and modifiers from the modifier menu at least the menu categories,
25 menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are
26 synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the master and modifier
27 menus”.

28 37. For example, claims 1-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the menu configuration

1 software is further enabled to generate the programmed handheld menu configuration in
2 conformity with a customized display layout unique to the wireless handheld computing
3 device to facilitate user operations with and display of the programmed handheld menu
4 configuration on the display screen of a handheld graphical user interface integral with the
5 wireless handheld computing device, wherein said customized display layout is
6 compatible with the displayable size of the handheld graphical user interface”.

7 38. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
8 that includes “wherein the menu configuration software is further enabled to generate the
9 programmed handheld menu configuration in conformity with a customized display layout
10 unique to the wireless handheld computing device to facilitate user operations with and
11 display of the programmed handheld menu configuration on the display screen of a
12 handheld graphical user interface integral with the wireless handheld computing device,
13 wherein said customized display layout is compatible with the displayable size of the
14 handheld graphical user interface”.

15 39. For example, claims 1-8 require “wherein the programmed handheld menu configuration
16 is configured by the menu configuration software for display as programmed cascaded
17 sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for the customized display
18 layout of the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said programmed cascaded
19 sets of linked graphical user interface screens for display of the handheld menu
20 configuration are configured differently from the cascaded sets of linked graphical user
21 interface screens for display of the master menu on said first graphical user interface”.

22 40. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
23 that includes “wherein the programmed handheld menu configuration is configured by the
24 menu configuration software for display as programmed cascaded sets of linked graphical
25 user interface screens appropriate for the customized display layout of the wireless
26 handheld computing device, wherein said programmed cascaded sets of linked graphical
27 user interface screens for display of the handheld menu configuration are configured
28 differently from the cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens for display of

1 the master menu on said first graphical user interface”.

2 41. For example, claims 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the programmed handheld
3 menu configuration is configured by the menu configuration software for display as
4 cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for the customized
5 display layout of the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said cascaded sets of
6 linked graphical user interface screens for display of the programmed handheld menu
7 configuration are configured differently from the cascaded sets of related graphical user
8 interface screens for display of the master menu on said first graphical user interface”.

9 42. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
10 that includes “wherein the programmed handheld menu configuration is configured by the
11 menu configuration software for display as cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface
12 screens appropriate for the customized display layout of the wireless handheld computing
13 device, wherein said cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens for display of
14 the programmed handheld menu configuration are configured differently from the
15 cascaded sets of related graphical user interface screens for display of the master menu on
16 said first graphical user interface”.

17 43. For example, claims 1-8 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is enabled for real
18 time synchronous communications to and from the wireless handheld computing device
19 utilizing the programmed handheld menu configuration including the capability of real
20 time synchronous transmission of the programmed handheld menu configuration to the
21 wireless handheld computing device and real time synchronous transmissions of
22 selections made from the handheld menu configuration on the wireless handheld
23 computing device”.

24 44. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
25 that includes “wherein the system is enabled for real time synchronous communications to
26 and from the wireless handheld computing device utilizing the programmed handheld
27 menu configuration including the capability of real time synchronous transmission of the
28 programmed handheld menu configuration to the wireless handheld computing device and

1 real time synchronous transmissions of selections made from the handheld menu
2 configuration on the wireless handheld computing device”.

3 45. For example, claims 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is enabled for
4 real time synchronous communications to and from the wireless handheld computing
5 device utilizing the programmed handheld menu configuration including the capability of
6 real time synchronous transmission of at least the menu categories, menu items and
7 modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration to the wireless
8 handheld computing device and real time synchronous transmissions of selections made
9 from the handheld menu configuration on the wireless handheld computing device”.

10 46. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
11 that includes “wherein the system is enabled for real time synchronous communications to
12 and from the wireless handheld computing device utilizing the programmed handheld
13 menu configuration including the capability of real time synchronous transmission of at
14 least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed
15 handheld menu configuration to the wireless handheld computing device and real time
16 synchronous transmissions of selections made from the handheld menu configuration on
17 the wireless handheld computing device”.

18 47. For example, claims 1-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is further enabled
19 to automatically format the programmed handheld menu configuration for display as
20 cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for a customized
21 display layout of at least two different wireless handheld computing device display sizes
22 in the same connected system”.

23 48. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
24 that includes “wherein the system is further enabled to automatically format the
25 programmed handheld menu configuration for display as cascaded sets of linked graphical
26 user interface screens appropriate for a customized display layout of at least two different
27 wireless handheld computing device display sizes in the same connected system”.

28 49. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “[a]n information management and

1 real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing
2 devices and the internet comprising”.

3 50. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
4 that includes “[a]n information management and real time synchronous communications
5 system for use with wireless handheld computing devices and the internet comprising”.

6 51. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “real time communications control
7 software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information
8 simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web
9 server and web page”.

10 52. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
11 that includes “real time communications control software enabled to link and synchronize
12 hospitality application information simultaneously between the master database, wireless
13 handheld computing device, web server and web page”.

14 53. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the communications
15 control software is enabled to utilize parameters from the master database file structure to
16 synchronize the hospitality application information in real time between the master
17 database, at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one web server and at
18 least one web page such that substantially the same information comprising the hospitality
19 application information is capable of being displayed on the wireless handheld computing
20 device, at least one web page and other display screens of the synchronized system, such
21 that the hospitality application information is synchronized between any connected users”.

22 54. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
23 that includes “wherein the communications control software is enabled to utilize
24 parameters from the master database file structure to synchronize the hospitality
25 application information in real time between the master database, at least one wireless
26 handheld computing device, at least one web server and at least one web page such that
27 substantially the same information comprising the hospitality application information is
28 capable of being displayed on the wireless handheld computing device, at least one web

1 page and other display screens of the synchronized system, such that the hospitality
2 application information is synchronized between any connected users”.

3 55. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the communications
4 control software is enabled to act as a real time interface between the elements of the
5 system and any applicable communications protocol”.

6 56. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
7 that includes “wherein the communications control software is enabled to act as a real
8 time interface between the elements of the system and any applicable communications
9 protocol”.

10 57. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the communications
11 control software is enabled to automatically and simultaneously configure the hospitality
12 application information for display on both the wireless handheld computing device and
13 the web page in conformity with a customized display layout unique to the wireless
14 handheld computing device or the web page, wherein said customized display layout is
15 compatible with the displayable size of the handheld computing device display screen or
16 the web page”.

17 58. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
18 that includes “wherein the communications control software is enabled to automatically
19 and simultaneously configure the hospitality application information for display on both
20 the wireless handheld computing device and the web page in conformity with a
21 customized display layout unique to the wireless handheld computing device or the web
22 page, wherein said customized display layout is compatible with the displayable size of
23 the handheld computing device display screen or the web page”.

24 59. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the communications
25 control software is further enabled to automatically format a programmed handheld
26 configuration for display as cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens
27 appropriate for a customized display layout of at least two different wireless handheld
28 computing device display sizes in the same connected system”.

1 60. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
2 that includes “wherein the communications control software is further enabled to
3 automatically format a programmed handheld configuration for display as cascaded sets of
4 linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for a customized display layout of at
5 least two different wireless handheld computing device display sizes in the same
6 connected system”.

7 61. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is enabled for
8 real time synchronous transmission of the configured hospitality application information
9 to the wireless handheld computing device, the web server and the web page and real time
10 synchronous transmissions of inputs responding to the configured hospitality application
11 information from the wireless handheld computing device, or the web server or the web
12 page.”

13 62. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering system/product/service”
14 that includes “wherein the system is enabled for real time synchronous transmission of the
15 configured hospitality application information to the wireless handheld computing device,
16 the web server and the web page and real time synchronous transmissions of inputs
17 responding to the configured hospitality application information from the wireless
18 handheld computing device, or the web server or the web page.”

19 63. GrubHub has not infringed and is not infringing, either literally or under the Doctrine of
20 Equivalents, directly or indirectly, by inducement or contribution, any valid and
21 enforceable claim of the ‘077 patent.

22 64. As GrubHub has not infringed and is not infringing any valid and enforceable claim of the
23 ‘077 patent, GrubHub has not willfully infringed and is not willfully infringing any valid
24 and enforceable claim of the ‘077 patent.

25 **Second Affirmative Defense**

26 65. The asserted claims of the ‘077 patent are taught in one or more single prior references
27 and/or by a single prior art system, and are, therefore, invalid as anticipated.

28 66. For example, under the constructions that Ameranth is apparently taking in this case, each

1 and every element of the claims of the '077 patent are taught by U.S. Pat. No. 5,845,263
2 ("Camaisa"), issued December 1, 1998, and titled Visual Ordering System. A true and
3 correct copy of Camaisa is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Camaisa is prior art to the '077
4 patent.

5 67. For example, under the constructions that Ameranth is apparently taking in this case, each
6 and every element of the asserted claims of the '077 patent are taught by U.S. Pat. No.
7 7,069,228 ("Rose"), issued June 27, 2006 and titled "Apparatus and Method for an
8 Internet Based Computer Reservation Booking System". A true and correct copy of Rose
9 is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Rose is prior art to the '077 patent.

10 68. Ameranth has accused Allmenus.com and Campusfood.com of infringing the '077 patent.

11 69. Allmenus.com and Campusfood.com use a common ordering system ("the Campusfood
12 Ordering System").

13 70. The Campusfood Ordering System was designed and implemented prior to September 2,
14 1997. On September 2, 1997, the Campusfood Ordering System took its first public
15 orders, and it has been in continuous use since then. A brochure discussing the
16 Campusfood Ordering System is attached as Exhibit C.

17 71. Under the constructions put forth by Ameranth in the First Menusoft Action, each and
18 every element of the asserted claims of the '077 patent was present in the Campusfood
19 Ordering System prior to the earliest priority date of the '077 patent.

20 72. Therefore, the claims of the '077 patent are invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

21 **Third Affirmative Defense**

22 73. The claims of the '077 patent are invalid as one of ordinary skill in the art would have
23 found them obvious at the time of alleged invention. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 103.

24 74. The "TransPad" is prior art to the '077 patent. A true and correct copy of a publication
25 describing the TransPad is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

26 75. The claims of the '077 patent would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art,
27 for example, through a combination of one or more of TransPad, Camaisa, Rose, the
28 Campusfood Ordering System, or other prior art references.

1 76. Therefore, the asserted claims of the '077 patent are invalid as obvious under 35 U.S.C. §
2 103.

3 **Fourth Affirmative Defense**

4 77. The claims of the '077 patent are invalid as they have not complied with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

5 78. For example, the term "real-time" appears in the specification of the '077 patent in three
6 places, outside of the claims: Col. 2, Line 27; Col. 5, Line 11; and Col. 12, Line 34. In
7 each location that "real-time" is mentioned, it is within a sentence that reads similarly to
8 the following: "Such features would include fast and automatic synchronization between
9 a central database and multiple handheld devices, synchronization and communication
10 between a World Wide Web ("Web") server and multiple handheld devices, a well-
11 defined application program interface ("API") that enables third parties such as point of
12 sale ("POS") companies, affinity program companies and internet content providers to
13 fully integrate with computerized hospitality applications, real-time communication over
14 the internet with direct connections or regular model dialup connections and support for
15 batch processing that can be done periodically throughout the day to keep multiple sites in
16 synch with the central database."

17 79. The specification of the '077 patent does not teach how real time synchronization of
18 menus or some other hospitality information would be maintained between a wireless
19 handheld device and a master menu or master database, which is required by all claims.

20 80. For example, claims 1-12 of the '077 patent require "[a]n information management and
21 real time synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting hospitality
22 menus".

23 81. The written description of the '077 patent does not support "[a]n information management
24 and real time synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting
25 hospitality menus".

26 82. The disclosure of the '077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of ordinary
27 skill in the art to implement "[a]n information management and real time synchronous
28 communications system for configuring and transmitting hospitality menus".

1 83. For example, claims 1-8 of the '077 patent require that "the menu configuration software
2 is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing
3 parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the menu categories,
4 menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the menu categories, menu
5 items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are
6 synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the master menu".

7 84. The written description of the '077 patent does not support "the menu configuration
8 software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by
9 utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the menu
10 categories, menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the menu
11 categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu
12 configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the
13 master menu".

14 85. The disclosure of the '077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of ordinary
15 skill in the art to implement "the menu configuration software is enabled to generate said
16 programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu
17 file structure defining at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers of the
18 master menu such that at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising
19 the programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time with
20 analogous information comprising the master menu".

21 86. For example, claim 9-12 of the '077 patent require "the menu configuration software is
22 enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing
23 parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the categories and items
24 of the master menu and modifiers from the modifier menu at least the menu categories,
25 menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are
26 synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the master and modifier
27 menus".

28 87. The written description of the '077 patent does not support "the menu configuration

1 software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by
2 utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the categories
3 and items of the master menu and modifiers from the modifier menu at least the menu
4 categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu
5 configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the
6 master and modifier menus”.

7 88. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of ordinary
8 skill in the art to implement “the menu configuration software is enabled to generate said
9 programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu
10 file structure defining at least the categories and items of the master menu and modifiers
11 from the modifier menu at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers
12 comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time
13 with analogous information comprising the master and modifier menus”.

14 89. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “[a]n information management and
15 real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing
16 devices and the internet”.

17 90. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “[a]n information management
18 and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld
19 computing devices and the internet”.

20 91. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of ordinary
21 skill in the art to implement “[a]n information management and real time synchronous
22 communications system for use with wireless handheld computing devices and the
23 internet”.

24 92. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “real time communications software
25 enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information simultaneously
26 between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web server and web
27 page”.

28 93. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “real time communications

software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web server and web page”.

94. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement “real time communications software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web server and web page”.

95. For example, claims 1-18 all require a “real time synchronous communications system”. A person of ordinary skill in the art would find the phrase “real time synchronous communications system” insolubly ambiguous, and therefore, all claims of the ‘077 patent are indefinite.

96. Therefore, all claims of the ‘077 patent are invalid for failing to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

97. Ameranth is estopped from construing a valid claim, if any should exist, of the ‘077 patent to be infringed literally or under the Doctrine of Equivalents due to admissions and/or statements made (a) to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office during prosecution of the ‘077 patent or a related patent, (b) in the specification of the ‘077 patent or a related patent, (c) and/or during prior litigation of the ‘077 patent or a related patent.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

98. Ameranth’s claims for relief are barred by the doctrine of prosecution laches.

99. Ameranth filed U.S. Appl. No. 09/400,413, which matured into the ‘850 patent on September 21, 1999. Ameranth filed the immediate predecessor of the ‘077 patent, U.S. Appl. No. 10/016,517, as a continuation-in-part of U.S. Appl. No. 09/400,413 on November 1, 2001. U.S. Appl. No. 10/016,517 was the last application to add new matter to the line from which the ‘077 patent descends.

100. The claims that issued in the ‘077 patent were not first presented until February 2, 2012, or more than twelve (12) years after the filing of the ‘850 patent and more than ten

(10) years after Ameranth last added new matter to the line from which the '077 patent descends.

101. During those ten to twelve years, GrubHub was founded, developed a restaurant service that now includes menus from more than 250,000 restaurants, allows online ordering from nearly fifteen thousand (15,000) restaurants in more than three hundred (300) cities, and has helped millions of diners order food.

102. Ameranth's attempt to claim infringement of the '077 patent by GrubHub would prejudice GrubHub, which has expended significant effort and spent millions of dollars in developing its restaurant service and business during the ten to twelve years that Ameranth did not pursue the claims that matured into the '077 patent.

103. Accordingly, Ameranth is barred from seeking any relief for its claim of infringement of the '077 patent against GrubHub by the doctrine of prosecution laches.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

104. On information and belief, Ameranth's claims are barred by the doctrines of waiver, estoppel, and/or unclean hands.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

105. All claims of the '077 patent are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct during the prosecution of the '077 patent by Fabiano, who acted as prosecution counsel for Ameranth before the PTO, and Keith McNally ("McNally"), a named inventor and officer of Ameranth.

106. On February 2, 2010, McNally, who was then Chief Executive Officer of Ameranth, executed a power of attorney allowing Fabiano to represent Ameranth before the PTO within the prosecution of the '077 patent.

107. During the prosecution of the '077 patent McNally executed no fewer than four (4) declarations and participated in multiple interviews between Ameranth and the PTO. Accordingly, McNally was significantly involved in the prosecution of the '077 patent.

108. McNally stands to benefit financially from any licensing fees or other revenue generated by the '077 patent.

1 109. Fabiano was retained by Ameranth to represent them in the prosecution of the ‘077
2 patent and the litigation of the ‘077 patent, and was significantly involved in the
3 prosecution of the ‘077 patent. Fabiano stands to benefit financially from any licensing
4 fees or other revenue generated by the ‘077 patent.

5 110. On February 2, 2012, Examiner Matthew Brophy (“Brophy”) issued a notice of
6 allowance after entering an earlier Examiner’s amendment, and the ‘077 patent actually
7 issued on March 27, 2012. Examiner Brophy primarily handled the examination of the
8 ‘077 patent.

9 111. On July 26, 2005, U.S. Pat. Appl. 11/190,633 (“the ‘633 application”) was filed on
10 behalf of Ameranth. Examination of the ‘633 application has primarily been handled by
11 Examiner Rutao Wu (“Wu”).

12 112. In an office action mailed on January 7, 2010, Examiner Wu finally rejected all
13 claims then pending of the ‘633 application. The primary reference relied on by Examiner
14 Wu was Rose.

15 113. Fabiano or another attorney of Ameranth discussed the January 7, 2010 final
16 rejection with McNally and made him aware of Rose.

17 114. On July 2, 2010, McNally, who was then President of Ameranth, executed a power
18 of attorney allowing Fabiano to prosecute the ‘633 application. On the same day Fabiano
19 filed a response to the rejection of all of Ameranth’s claims then pending in the ‘633
20 application. The July 2, 2010 response presented detailed arguments attempting to
21 distinguish Ameranth’s alleged invention from Rose and the other cited art.

22 115. Despite Ameranth’s arguments, Examiner Wu continued to reject all of
23 Ameranth’s claims in an office action dated October 5, 2010, which relied on Rose as its
24 primary reference.

25 116. On October 26, 2010, Fabiano filed a response to the October 5, 2010 rejection.
26 The October 26, 2010 response presented additional detailed arguments attempting to
27 distinguish Ameranth’s alleged invention from Rose and the other cited art.

28 117. On November 16, 2010 Fabiano held a telephonic interview with Examiner Wu

1 during which Rose was discussed.

2 118. On December 8, 2010 Fabiano filed a supplemental response presenting additional
3 arguments attempting to distinguish Ameranth's alleged invention from Rose and the
4 other cited art.

5 119. On February 15, 2011 Examiner Wu issued a final rejection of all of pending
6 claims of the '633 application. The February 15, 2011 rejection maintained Rose as the
7 primary reference.

8 120. McNally became aware of Rose sometime before Fabiano filed the response on
9 July 2, 2010.

10 121. Fabiano became aware of Rose sometime before July 2, 2010.

11 122. A period of 635 days, or more than 90 weeks, passed between July 2, 2010 and the
12 issuance of the '077 patent on March 27, 2012. Fabiano could have submitted Rose to the
13 PTO so that it would be of record for the prosecution of the '077 patent on any of those
14 635 days.

15 123. The preamble of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "An information management
16 and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld
17 computing devices and the internet comprising:". The preamble of claims 77 and 97 of
18 the '633 application is identical to the preamble of claim 13 of the '077 patent.

19 124. Element (a) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "a master database connected in
20 said system and configured to store hospitality application information pursuant to a
21 master database file structure". Element (a) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads "a
22 master database containing at least one hospitality application(s) and associated data".
23 Element (a) of claim 97 of the '633 application reads "a master database containing
24 hospitality applications and associated data".

25 125. Element (b) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "at least one wireless handheld
26 computing device connected in said system and configured to display said hospitality
27 application information". Element (b) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads
28 "application software enabled to configure hospitality data for display on the 'non pc

1 standard' display sized screen of at least one wireless handheld computing device in
2 which the at least one hospitality application is stored". Element (b) of claim 97 of the
3 '633 application reads "data application software enabled to configure hospitality data for
4 display on the 'non pc standard' display sized screen of at least one wireless handheld
5 computing device in which the at least one hospitality application is stored".

6 126. Element (c) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "at least one web server connected
7 in said system". Element (c) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads "at least one Web
8 server enabled by application software to interface with at least one hospitality application
9 and its associated data". Element (c) of claim 97 of the '633 application reads "at least
10 one Web server enabled by application software to interface with at least one hospitality
11 applications and associated data".

12 127. Element (d) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "at least one web page connected
13 in said system and configured to display said hospitality application information".
14 Element (d) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads "application software enabled to
15 configure hospitality data for display on at least one web page".

16 128. Element (e) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "real time communications
17 control software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information
18 simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web
19 server and web page". The second wherein clause of claim 77 of the '633 application
20 reads "wherein the system is enabled via application software to synchronize the at least
21 one hospitality application(s) and its associated data with the data in a second and
22 different hospitality application in real time between the master database, the at least one
23 Web server, the at least one wireless computing device and the at least one web page".
24 The first wherein clause of claim 97 of the '633 application reads "wherein the system is
25 enabled by application software to synchronize the at least one hospitality application and
26 data in real time between the master database, the at least one wireless handheld
27 computing device, the at least one Web server and the at least one Web page".

28 129. The second wherein clause of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "wherein the

1 communications control software is enabled to act as a real time interface between the
2 elements of the system and any applicable communications protocol”. The third wherein
3 clause of claim 77 of the ‘633 application reads “wherein the communications control
4 module is enabled via application software to act as an interface between the at least one
5 hospitality application(s) and any applicable communications protocol”. The second
6 wherein clause of claim 97 of the ‘633 application reads “wherein the communications
7 control module is also enabled via application software to act as an interface between the
8 at least one hospitality applications and any applicable communications protocol”.

9 130. The claims of the ‘077 patent and the pending claims of the ‘633 application that
10 have been rejected in view of Rose contain numerous similar elements.

11 131. Given the significant similarity between the rejected claims of the ‘633 application
12 and the claims of the ‘077 patent, Rose would have been material to the prosecution of the
13 ‘077 patent.

14 132. Prior to March 27, 2012, Fabiano became aware that Rose was a material reference
15 to examination of the application that matured into the ‘077 patent, and this awareness
16 triggered Fabiano’s duty to disclose Rose to the PTO under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

17 133. Prior to March 27, 2012, McNally became aware that Rose was a material
18 reference to the examination of the application that matured into the ‘077 patent, and this
19 awareness triggered McNally’s duty to disclose Rose to the PTO under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

20 134. Rose is not listed on any information disclosure statement submitted by Ameranth
21 during prosecution of the ‘077 patent.

22 135. Rose was not cited by Examiner Brophy during prosecution of the ‘077 patent.

23 136. Rose was not disclosed to the PTO during the prosecution of the ‘077 patent by
24 Fabiano, McNally, or any other agent of Ameranth.

25 137. Fabiano intentionally withheld Rose from the PTO during the prosecution of the
26 ‘077 patent and thereby breached his duty of good faith and candor in dealing with the
27 PTO under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

28 138. McNally intentionally withheld Rose from the PTO during the prosecution of the

1 '077 patent and thereby breached his duty of good faith and candor in dealing with the
2 PTO under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

3 139. The '077 patent would not have issued if Rose had been disclosed to Examiner
4 Brophy. Accordingly, all claims of the '077 patent are unenforceable due to Fabiano and
5 McNally's inequitable conduct during the prosecution of the '077 patent.

6 **Ninth Affirmative Defense**

7 140. The Complaint fails to adequately set forth allegations sufficient to support its
8 claims of infringement and therefore fails to state a claim under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a),(b),
9 and (c).

10 **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

11 141. Ameranth's claims for costs are barred, in whole or in part, under 35 U.S.C. §
12 288.

13 **Eleventh Affirmative Defense**

14 142. To the extent that Ameranth alleges a theory of divided infringement of multiple
15 actors, GrubHub lacks the requisite direction or control over the activities of other
16 required actors, and therefore, GrubHub cannot be held liable for any joint infringement of
17 any valid and enforceable claim of the '077 patent.

18 **COUNTERCLAIMS**

19 GrubHub alleges as follows for its Counterclaims against Ameranth.

20 **PARTIES**

21 143. GrubHub is a Delaware corporation.

22 144. On information and belief, Ameranth is a Delaware corporation having a principal
23 place of business at 5820 Oberlin Drive, Suite 202, San Diego, California 92121.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 145. Ameranth filed a Complaint against GrubHub in this Court.

26 146. Ameranth alleges in its Complaint that it is the lawful owner by assignment of all
27 right, title, and interest in and to U.S. Pat. No. 8,146,077 ("the '077 patent").

28 147. Ameranth alleges in its Complaint that GrubHub has infringed the '077 patent.

148. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Ameranth and GrubHub.

149. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these Counterclaims under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 §§ U.S.C. 2201 – 2202.

150. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 §§ U.S.C. 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400.

151. Ameranth has appeared in this lawsuit, is represented by counsel, and has submitted to the jurisdiction of this Court.

BACKGROUND

152. Ameranth has a history of litigious behavior, and has previously asserted patents directly related to the ‘077 patent against GrubHub and other Defendants.

a. Cause no. 2:07-CV-00271, in the Eastern District of Texas, asserting U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,384,850, 6,871,325, and 6,982,733.

b. Cause no. 2:10-CV-00294, in the Eastern District of Texas, asserting U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,384,850, 6,871,325, and 6,982,733.

c. Cause no. 3:11-CV-01810 in the Southern District of California, asserting U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,384,850 and 6,871,325.

d. Cause no. 3:12-CV-00739 in the Southern District of California, asserting U.S. Pat. No. 8,146,077.

153. In cause no. 2:07-CV-00271, a 5-day jury trial was held from September 13, 2010 to September 17, 2010. A jury found that the defendants, Menusoft and CRS, did not infringe the ‘850 patent, the ‘325 patent, or the ‘733 patent. Furthermore, the jury found that Menusoft and CRS had proven, by clear and convincing evidence that all asserted claims of the ‘850 patent, the ‘325 patent, and the ‘733 patent were invalid as anticipated by, and obvious in view of, various prior art references. The Court denied Ameranth’s motion for judgment as a matter of law (“JMOL”) of no anticipation, nonobviousness, and no invalidity of the asserted claims of the ‘850 patent, the ‘325 patent, and the ‘733 patent.

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

1 154. GrubHub incorporates by reference the allegations and answers of all preceding
2 and subsequent paragraphs of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

3 155. GrubHub does not infringe any valid and enforceable claim of the '077 patent.

4 156. For example, claims 1-12 of the '077 patent require "[a]n information management
5 and real time synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting
6 hospitality menus".

7 157. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an "ordering
8 system/product/service" that includes "[a]n information management and real time
9 synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting hospitality menus".

10 158. For example, claims 1-8 of the '077 patent require "menu configuration software
11 enabled to generate a programmed handheld menu configuration from said master menu
12 for wireless transmission to and programmed for display on a wireless handheld
13 computing device, said programmed handheld menu configuration comprising at least
14 menu categories, menu items and modifiers and wherein the menu configuration software
15 is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing
16 parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the menu categories,
17 menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the menu categories, menu
18 items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are
19 synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the master menu".

20 159. GrubHub does not make, use, sell or offer for sale an "ordering
21 system/product/service" that includes "menu configuration software enabled to generate a
22 programmed handheld menu configuration from said master menu for wireless
23 transmission to and programmed for display on a wireless handheld computing device,
24 said programmed handheld menu configuration comprising at least menu categories, menu
25 items and modifiers and wherein the menu configuration software is enabled to generate
26 said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master
27 menu file structure defining at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers of the
28 master menu such that at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising

1 the programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time with
2 analogous information comprising the master menu”.

3 160. For example, claims 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “a modifier menu capable of
4 being stored on said data storage device, and menu configuration software enabled to
5 automatically generate a programmed handheld menu configuration from said master
6 menu for display on a wireless handheld computing device, said programmed handheld
7 menu configuration comprising at least menu categories, menu items and modifiers and
8 wherein the menu configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed
9 handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure
10 defining at least the categories and items of the master menu and modifiers from the
11 modifier menu at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the
12 programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous
13 information comprising the master and modifier menus”.

14 161. GrubHub does not make, use, sell or offer for sale an “ordering
15 system/product/service” that includes “a modifier menu capable of being stored on said
16 data storage device, and menu configuration software enabled to automatically generate a
17 programmed handheld menu configuration from said master menu for display on a
18 wireless handheld computing device, said programmed handheld menu configuration
19 comprising at least menu categories, menu items and modifiers and wherein the menu
20 configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu
21 configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least
22 the categories and items of the master menu and modifiers from the modifier menu at least
23 the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld
24 menu configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising
25 the master and modifier menus”.

26 162. For example, claims 1-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the menu
27 configuration software is further enabled to generate the programmed handheld menu
28 configuration in conformity with a customized display layout unique to the wireless

1 handheld computing device to facilitate user operations with and display of the
2 programmed handheld menu configuration on the display screen of a handheld graphical
3 user interface integral with the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said
4 customized display layout is compatible with the displayable size of the handheld
5 graphical user interface”.

6 163. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
7 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the menu configuration software is further
8 enabled to generate the programmed handheld menu configuration in conformity with a
9 customized display layout unique to the wireless handheld computing device to facilitate
10 user operations with and display of the programmed handheld menu configuration on the
11 display screen of a handheld graphical user interface integral with the wireless handheld
12 computing device, wherein said customized display layout is compatible with the
13 displayable size of the handheld graphical user interface”.

14 164. For example, claims 1-8 require “wherein the programmed handheld menu
15 configuration is configured by the menu configuration software for display as
16 programmed cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for the
17 customized display layout of the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said
18 programmed cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens for display of the
19 handheld menu configuration are configured differently from the cascaded sets of linked
20 graphical user interface screens for display of the master menu on said first graphical user
21 interface”.

22 165. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
23 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the programmed handheld menu
24 configuration is configured by the menu configuration software for display as
25 programmed cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for the
26 customized display layout of the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said
27 programmed cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens for display of the
28 handheld menu configuration are configured differently from the cascaded sets of linked

1 graphical user interface screens for display of the master menu on said first graphical user
2 interface”.

3 166. For example, claims 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the programmed
4 handheld menu configuration is configured by the menu configuration software for
5 display as cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for the
6 customized display layout of the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said
7 cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens for display of the programmed
8 handheld menu configuration are configured differently from the cascaded sets of related
9 graphical user interface screens for display of the master menu on said first graphical user
10 interface”.

11 167. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
12 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the programmed handheld menu
13 configuration is configured by the menu configuration software for display as cascaded
14 sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for the customized display
15 layout of the wireless handheld computing device, wherein said cascaded sets of linked
16 graphical user interface screens for display of the programmed handheld menu
17 configuration are configured differently from the cascaded sets of related graphical user
18 interface screens for display of the master menu on said first graphical user interface”.

19 168. For example, claims 1-8 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is enabled
20 for real time synchronous communications to and from the wireless handheld computing
21 device utilizing the programmed handheld menu configuration including the capability of
22 real time synchronous transmission of the programmed handheld menu configuration to
23 the wireless handheld computing device and real time synchronous transmissions of
24 selections made from the handheld menu configuration on the wireless handheld
25 computing device”.

26 169. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
27 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the system is enabled for real time
28 synchronous communications to and from the wireless handheld computing device

1 utilizing the programmed handheld menu configuration including the capability of real
2 time synchronous transmission of the programmed handheld menu configuration to the
3 wireless handheld computing device and real time synchronous transmissions of
4 selections made from the handheld menu configuration on the wireless handheld
5 computing device”.

6 170. For example, claims 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is
7 enabled for real time synchronous communications to and from the wireless handheld
8 computing device utilizing the programmed handheld menu configuration including the
9 capability of real time synchronous transmission of at least the menu categories, menu
10 items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration to the
11 wireless handheld computing device and real time synchronous transmissions of
12 selections made from the handheld menu configuration on the wireless handheld
13 computing device”.

14 171. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
15 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the system is enabled for real time
16 synchronous communications to and from the wireless handheld computing device
17 utilizing the programmed handheld menu configuration including the capability of real
18 time synchronous transmission of at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers
19 comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration to the wireless handheld
20 computing device and real time synchronous transmissions of selections made from the
21 handheld menu configuration on the wireless handheld computing device”.

22 172. For example, claims 1-12 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is further
23 enabled to automatically format the programmed handheld menu configuration for display
24 as cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for a customized
25 display layout of at least two different wireless handheld computing device display sizes
26 in the same connected system”.

27 173. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
28 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the system is further enabled to

1 automatically format the programmed handheld menu configuration for display as
2 cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for a customized
3 display layout of at least two different wireless handheld computing device display sizes
4 in the same connected system”.

5 174. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “[a]n information
6 management and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless
7 handheld computing devices and the internet comprising”.

8 175. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
9 system/product/service” that includes “[a]n information management and real time
10 synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing devices
11 and the internet comprising”.

12 176. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “real time communications
13 control software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information
14 simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web
15 server and web page”.

16 177. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
17 system/product/service” that includes “real time communications control software enabled
18 to link and synchronize hospitality application information simultaneously between the
19 master database, wireless handheld computing device, web server and web page”.

20 178. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the
21 communications control software is enabled to utilize parameters from the master
22 database file structure to synchronize the hospitality application information in real time
23 between the master database, at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one
24 web server and at least one web page such that substantially the same information
25 comprising the hospitality application information is capable of being displayed on the
26 wireless handheld computing device, at least one web page and other display screens of
27 the synchronized system, such that the hospitality application information is synchronized
28 between any connected users”.

1 179. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
2 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the communications control software is
3 enabled to utilize parameters from the master database file structure to synchronize the
4 hospitality application information in real time between the master database, at least one
5 wireless handheld computing device, at least one web server and at least one web page
6 such that substantially the same information comprising the hospitality application
7 information is capable of being displayed on the wireless handheld computing device, at
8 least one web page and other display screens of the synchronized system, such that the
9 hospitality application information is synchronized between any connected users”.

10 180. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the
11 communications control software is enabled to act as a real time interface between the
12 elements of the system and any applicable communications protocol”.

13 181. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
14 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the communications control software is
15 enabled to act as a real time interface between the elements of the system and any
16 applicable communications protocol”.

17 182. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the
18 communications control software is enabled to automatically and simultaneously
19 configure the hospitality application information for display on both the wireless handheld
20 computing device and the web page in conformity with a customized display layout
21 unique to the wireless handheld computing device or the web page, wherein said
22 customized display layout is compatible with the displayable size of the handheld
23 computing device display screen or the web page”.

24 183. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
25 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the communications control software is
26 enabled to automatically and simultaneously configure the hospitality application
27 information for display on both the wireless handheld computing device and the web page
28 in conformity with a customized display layout unique to the wireless handheld

1 computing device or the web page, wherein said customized display layout is compatible
2 with the displayable size of the handheld computing device display screen or the web
3 page”.

4 184. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the
5 communications control software is further enabled to automatically format a
6 programmed handheld configuration for display as cascaded sets of linked graphical user
7 interface screens appropriate for a customized display layout of at least two different
8 wireless handheld computing device display sizes in the same connected system”.

9 185. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
10 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the communications control software is
11 further enabled to automatically format a programmed handheld configuration for display
12 as cascaded sets of linked graphical user interface screens appropriate for a customized
13 display layout of at least two different wireless handheld computing device display sizes
14 in the same connected system”.

15 186. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “wherein the system is
16 enabled for real time synchronous transmission of the configured hospitality application
17 information to the wireless handheld computing device, the web server and the web page
18 and real time synchronous transmissions of inputs responding to the configured hospitality
19 application information from the wireless handheld computing device, or the web server
20 or the web page.”

21 187. GrubHub does not make, use, sell, or offer for sale an “ordering
22 system/product/service” that includes “wherein the system is enabled for real time
23 synchronous transmission of the configured hospitality application information to the
24 wireless handheld computing device, the web server and the web page and real time
25 synchronous transmissions of inputs responding to the configured hospitality application
26 information from the wireless handheld computing device, or the web server or the web
27 page.”

28 188. GrubHub has not infringed and is not infringing, either literally or under the

1 Doctrine of Equivalents, directly or indirectly, by inducement or contribution, any valid
2 and enforceable claim of the '077 patent.

3 189. As GrubHub has not infringed and is not infringing any valid and enforceable
4 claim of the '077 patent, GrubHub has not willfully infringed and is not willfully
5 infringing any valid and enforceable claim of the '077 patent.

6 **SECOND COUNTERCLAIM**

7 190. GrubHub incorporates by reference the allegations and answers of all preceding
8 and subsequent paragraphs of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

9 191. The asserted claims of the '077 patent are taught in one or more single prior
10 references and/or by a single prior art system, and are, therefore, invalid as anticipated.

11 192. For example, under the constructions that Ameranth is apparently taking in this
12 case, each and every element of the claims of the '077 patent are taught by U.S. Pat. No.
13 5,845,263 ("Camaisa"), issued December 1, 1998, and titled Visual Ordering System. A
14 true and correct copy of Camaisa is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Camaisa is prior art to
15 the '077 patent.

16 193. For example, under the constructions that Ameranth is apparently taking in this
17 case, each and every element of the asserted claims of the '077 patent are taught by U.S.
18 Pat. No. 7,069,228 ("Rose"), issued June 27, 2006 and titled "Apparatus and Method for
19 an Internet Based Computer Reservation Booking System". A true and correct copy of
20 Rose is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Rose is prior art to the '077 patent.

21 194. Ameranth has accused Allmenus.com and Campusfood.com of infringing the '077
22 patent.

23 195. Allmenus.com and Campusfood.com use a common ordering system ("the
24 Campusfood Ordering System").

25 196. The Campusfood Ordering System was designed and implemented prior to
26 September 2, 1997. On September 2, 1997, the Campusfood Ordering System took its
27 first public orders, and it has been in continuous use since then. A brochure discussing the
28 Campusfood Ordering System is attached as Exhibit C.

197. Under the constructions put forth by Ameranth in the First Menusoft Action, each and every element of the asserted claims of the '077 patent was present in the Campusfood Ordering System prior to the earliest priority date of the '077 patent.

198. Therefore, the claims of the '077 patent are invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

THIRD COUNTERCLAIM

199. GrubHub incorporates by reference the allegations and answers of all preceding and subsequent paragraphs of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

200. The claims of the '077 patent are invalid as one of ordinary skill in the art would have found them obvious at the time of alleged invention. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 103.

201. The “TransPad” is prior art to the ‘077 patent. A true and correct copy of a publication describing the TransPad is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

202. The claims of the '077 patent would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, for example, through a combination of one or more of TransPad, Camaisa, Rose, the Campusfood Ordering System, or other prior art references.

203. Therefore, the asserted claims of the '077 patent are invalid as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

FOURTH COUNTERCLAIM

204. GrubHub incorporates by reference the allegations and answers of all preceding and subsequent paragraphs of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

205. The claims of the '077 patent are invalid as they have not complied with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

206. For example, the term “real-time” appears in the specification of the ‘077 patent in three places, outside of the claims: Col. 2, Line 27; Col. 5, Line 11; and Col. 12, Line 34. In each location that “real-time” is mentioned, it is within a sentence that reads similarly to the following: “Such features would include fast and automatic synchronization between a central database and multiple handheld devices, synchronization and communication between a World Wide Web (“Web”) server and multiple handheld

1 devices, a well-defined application program interface (“API”) that enables third parties
2 such as point of sale (“POS”) companies, affinity program companies and internet content
3 providers to fully integrate with computerized hospitality applications, real-time
4 communication over the internet with direct connections or regular model dialup
5 connections and support for batch processing that can be done periodically throughout the
6 day to keep multiple sites in synch with the central database.”

7 207. The specification of the ‘077 patent does not teach how real time synchronization
8 of menus or some other hospitality information would be maintained between a wireless
9 handheld device and a master menu or master database, which is required by all claims.

10 208. For example, claims 1-12 of the ‘077 patent require “[a]n information management
11 and real time synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting
12 hospitality menus”.

13 209. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “[a]n information
14 management and real time synchronous communications system for configuring and
15 transmitting hospitality menus”.

16 210. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of
17 ordinary skill in the art to implement “[a]n information management and real time
18 synchronous communications system for configuring and transmitting hospitality menus”.

19 211. For example, claims 1-8 of the ‘077 patent require that “the menu configuration
20 software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by
21 utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the menu
22 categories, menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the menu
23 categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu
24 configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the
25 master menu”.

26 212. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “the menu
27 configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu
28 configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least

1 the menu categories, menu items and modifiers of the master menu such that at least the
2 menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu
3 configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the
4 master menu”.

5 213. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of
6 ordinary skill in the art to implement “the menu configuration software is enabled to
7 generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the
8 master menu file structure defining at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers
9 of the master menu such that at least the menu categories, menu items and modifiers
10 comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in real time
11 with analogous information comprising the master menu”.

12 214. For example, claim 9-12 of the ‘077 patent require “the menu configuration
13 software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by
14 utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least the categories
15 and items of the master menu and modifiers from the modifier menu at least the menu
16 categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu
17 configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising the
18 master and modifier menus”.

19 215. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “the menu
20 configuration software is enabled to generate said programmed handheld menu
21 configuration by utilizing parameters from the master menu file structure defining at least
22 the categories and items of the master menu and modifiers from the modifier menu at least
23 the menu categories, menu items and modifiers comprising the programmed handheld
24 menu configuration are synchronized in real time with analogous information comprising
25 the master and modifier menus”.

26 216. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of
27 ordinary skill in the art to implement “the menu configuration software is enabled to
28 generate said programmed handheld menu configuration by utilizing parameters from the

1 master menu file structure defining at least the categories and items of the master menu
2 and modifiers from the modifier menu at least the menu categories, menu items and
3 modifiers comprising the programmed handheld menu configuration are synchronized in
4 real time with analogous information comprising the master and modifier menus”.

5 217. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “[a]n information
6 management and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless
7 handheld computing devices and the internet”.

8 218. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “[a]n information
9 management and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless
10 handheld computing devices and the internet”.

11 219. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of
12 ordinary skill in the art to implement “[a]n information management and real time
13 synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing devices
14 and the internet”.

15 220. For example, claims 13-18 of the ‘077 patent require “real time communications
16 software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information
17 simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web
18 server and web page”.

19 221. The written description of the ‘077 patent does not support “real time
20 communications software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application
21 information simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing
22 device, web server and web page”.

23 222. The disclosure of the ‘077 patent taken as a whole does not enable a person of
24 ordinary skill in the art to implement “real time communications software enabled to link
25 and synchronize hospitality application information simultaneously between the master
26 database, wireless handheld computing device, web server and web page”.

27 223. For example, claims 1-18 all require a “real time synchronous communications
28 system”. A person of ordinary skill in the art would find the phrase “real time

synchronous communications system” insolubly ambiguous, and therefore, all claims of the ‘077 patent are indefinite.

224. Therefore, all claims of the '077 patent are invalid for failing to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM

225. GrubHub incorporates by reference the allegations and answers of all preceding and subsequent paragraphs of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

226. All claims of the ‘077 patent are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct during the prosecution of the ‘077 patent by Michael D. Fabiano (“Fabiano”), who acted as prosecution counsel for Ameranth before the PTO, and Keith McNally (“McNally”), a named inventor and officer of Ameranth.

227. On February 2, 2010, McNally, who was then Chief Executive Officer of Ameranth, executed a power of attorney allowing Fabiano to represent Ameranth before the PTO within the prosecution of the '077 patent.

228. During the prosecution of the '077 patent McNally executed no fewer than four (4) declarations and participated in multiple interviews between Ameranth and the PTO. Accordingly, McNally was significantly involved in the prosecution of the '077 patent.

229. McNally stands to benefit financially from any licensing fees or other revenue generated by the '077 patent.

230. Fabiano was retained by Ameranth to represent them in the prosecution of the ‘077 patent and the litigation of the ‘077 patent, and was significantly involved in the prosecution of the ‘077 patent. Fabiano stands to benefit financially from any licensing fees or other revenue generated by the ‘077 patent.

231. On February 2, 2012, Examiner Matthew Brophy (“Brophy”) issued a notice of allowance after entering an earlier Examiner’s amendment, and the ‘077 patent actually issued on March 27, 2012. Examiner Brophy primarily handled the examination of the ‘077 patent.

232. On July 26, 2005 U.S. Pat. Appl. 11/190,633 (“the ‘633 application”) was filed on

1 behalf of Ameranth. Examination of the ‘633 application has primarily been handled by
2 Examiner Rutao Wu (“Wu”).

3 233. In an office action mailed on January 7, 2010, Examiner Wu finally rejected all
4 claims then pending of the ‘633 application. The primary reference relied on by Examiner
5 Wu was Rose.

6 234. Fabiano or another attorney of Ameranth discussed the January 7, 2010 final
7 rejection with McNally and made him aware of Rose.

8 235. On July 2, 2010, McNally, who was then President of Ameranth, executed a power
9 of attorney allowing Fabiano to prosecute the ‘633 application. On the same day Fabiano
10 filed a response to the rejection of all of Ameranth’s claims then pending in the ‘633
11 application. The July 2, 2010 response presented detailed arguments attempting to
12 distinguish Ameranth’s alleged invention from Rose and the other cited art.

13 236. Despite Ameranth’s arguments, Examiner Wu continued to reject all of
14 Ameranth’s claims in an office action dated October 5, 2010, which relied on Rose as its
15 primary reference.

16 237. On October 26, 2010, Fabiano filed a response to the October 5, 2010 rejection.
17 The October 26, 2010 response presented additional detailed arguments attempting to
18 distinguish Ameranth’s alleged invention from Rose and the other cited art.

19 238. On November 16, 2010 Fabiano held a telephonic interview with Examiner Wu
20 during which Rose was discussed.

21 239. On December 8, 2010 Fabiano filed a supplemental response presenting additional
22 arguments attempting to distinguish Ameranth’s alleged invention from Rose and the
23 other cited art.

24 240. On February 15, 2011 Examiner Wu issued a final rejection of all of pending
25 claims of the ‘633 application. The February 15, 2011 rejection maintained Rose as the
26 primary reference.

27 241. McNally became aware of Rose sometime before Fabiano filed the response on
28 July 2, 2010.

1 242. Fabiano became aware of Rose sometime before July 2, 2010.

2 243. A period of 635 days, or more than 90 weeks, passed between July 2, 2010 and the
3 issuance of the '077 patent on March 27, 2012. Fabiano could have submitted Rose to the
4 PTO so that it would be of record for the prosecution of the '077 patent on any of those
5 635 days.

6 244. The preamble of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "An information management
7 and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld
8 computing devices and the internet comprising:". The preamble of claims 77 and 97 of
9 the '633 application is identical to the preamble of claim 13 of the '077 patent.

10 245. Element (a) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "a master database connected in
11 said system and configured to store hospitality application information pursuant to a
12 master database file structure". Element (a) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads "a
13 master database containing at least one hospitality application(s) and associated data".
14 Element (a) of claim 97 of the '633 application reads "a master database containing
15 hospitality applications and associated data".

16 246. Element (b) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "at least one wireless handheld
17 computing device connected in said system and configured to display said hospitality
18 application information". Element (b) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads
19 "application software enabled to configure hospitality data for display on the 'non pc
20 standard' display sized screen of at least one wireless handheld computing device in
21 which the at least one hospitality application is stored". Element (b) of claim 97 of the
22 '633 application reads "data application software enabled to configure hospitality data for
23 display on the 'non pc standard' display sized screen of at least one wireless handheld
24 computing device in which the at least one hospitality application is stored".

25 247. Element (c) of claim 13 of the '077 patent reads "at least one web server connected
26 in said system". Element (c) of claim 77 of the '633 application reads "at least one Web
27 server enabled by application software to interface with at least one hospitality application
28 and its associated data". Element (c) of claim 97 of the '633 application reads "at least

1 one Web server enabled by application software to interface with at least one hospitality
2 applications and associated data”.

3 248. Element (d) of claim 13 of the ‘077 patent reads “at least one web page connected
4 in said system and configured to display said hospitality application information”.
5 Element (d) of claim 77 of the ‘633 application reads “application software enabled to
6 configure hospitality data for display on at least one web page”.

7 249. Element (e) of claim 13 of the ‘077 patent reads “real time communications
8 control software enabled to link and synchronize hospitality application information
9 simultaneously between the master database, wireless handheld computing device, web
10 server and web page”. The second wherein clause of claim 77 of the ‘633 application
11 reads “wherein the system is enabled via application software to synchronize the at least
12 one hospitality application(s) and its associated data with the data in a second and
13 different hospitality application in real time between the master database, the at least one
14 Web server, the at least one wireless computing device and the at least one web page”.
15 The first wherein clause of claim 97 of the ‘633 application reads “wherein the system is
16 enabled by application software to synchronize the at least one hospitality application and
17 data in real time between the master database, the at least one wireless handheld
18 computing device, the at least one Web server and the at least one Web page”.

19 250. The second wherein clause of claim 13 of the ‘patent reads “wherein the
20 communications control software is enabled to act as a real time interface between the
21 elements of the system and any applicable communications protocol”. The third wherein
22 clause of claim 77 of the ‘633 application reads “wherein the communications control
23 module is enabled via application software to act as an interface between the at least one
24 hospitality application(s) and any applicable communications protocol”. The second
25 wherein clause of claim 97 of the ‘633 application reads “wherein the communications
26 control module is also enabled via application software to act as an interface between the
27 at least one hospitality applications and any applicable communications protocol”.

28 251. The claims of the ‘077 patent and the pending claims of the ‘633 application that

1 have been rejected in view of Rose contain numerous similar elements.

2 252. Given the significant similarity between the rejected claims of the '633 application
3 and the claims of the '077 patent, Rose would have been material to the prosecution of the
4 '077 patent.

5 253. Prior to March 27, 2012, Fabiano became aware that Rose was a material reference
6 to examination of the application that matured into the '077 patent, and this awareness
7 triggered Fabiano's duty to disclose Rose to the PTO under 37 CFR 1.56.

8 254. Prior to March 27, 2012, McNally became aware that Rose was a material
9 reference to the examination of the application that matured into the '077 patent, and this
10 awareness triggered McNally's duty to disclose Rose to the PTO under 37 CFR 1.56.

11 255. Rose is not listed on any information disclosure statement submitted by Ameranth
12 during prosecution of the '077 patent.

13 256. Rose was not cited by Examiner Brophy during prosecution of the '077 patent.

14 257. Rose was not disclosed to the PTO during the prosecution of the '077 patent by
15 Fabiano, McNally, or any other agent of Ameranth.

16 258. Fabiano intentionally withheld Rose from the PTO during the prosecution of the
17 '077 patent and thereby breached his duty of good faith and candor in dealing with the
18 PTO under 37 CFR 1.56.

19 259. McNally intentionally withheld Rose from the PTO during the prosecution of the
20 '077 patent and thereby breached his duty of good faith and candor in dealing with the
21 PTO under 37 CFR 1.56.

22 260. The '077 patent would not have issued if Rose had been disclosed to Examiner
23 Brophy. Accordingly, all claims of the '077 patent are unenforceable due to Fabiano and
24 McNally's inequitable conduct during the prosecution of the '077 patent.

25 **SIXTH COUNTERCLAIM**

26 261. GrubHub incorporates by reference the allegations and answers of all preceding
27 and subsequent paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

28 262. Ameranth has asserted claims that are similar to many of the claims at issue in this

1 case in cause no. 2:07-CV-00271, where all of its asserted claims were judged to be
2 invalid after a jury trial.

3 263. Ameranth knew, or should have known, that the claims of the '077 patent were not
4 infringed by GrubHub, were invalid under the requirements of the U.S. Patent Laws,
5 including but not limited to 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112 when this lawsuit was
6 commenced, and/or were unenforceable.

7 264. The pursuit of Ameranth's claims against GrubHub is unjustified.

8 265. This is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

9 **TRIAL BY JURY**

10 266. GrubHub demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

11 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

12 267. GrubHub, reserving its right to amend its pleading to add additional defenses,
13 affirmative defenses, and counterclaims if warranted by discovery in this lawsuit, requests
14 the following relief:

- 15 a. A judgment declaring that GrubHub has not infringed any valid and enforceable claim of
16 the '077 patent.
- 17 b. A judgment declaring that all claims of the '077 patent are invalid as anticipated by the
18 prior art.
- 19 c. A judgment declaring that all claims of the '077 patent are obvious in view of the prior
20 art.
- 21 d. A judgment declaring that all claims of the '077 patent are invalid for failure to comply
22 with 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 23 e. A judgment declaring that Ameranth's Complaint for Patent Infringement is dismissed
24 with prejudice, and that Ameranth take nothing by its Complaint.
- 25 f. An injunction enjoining Ameranth, any other party claiming rights through Ameranth, and
26 any other party in privity with Ameranth, from charging infringement of the '077 patent
27 against GrubHub and anyone in privity with GrubHub, including its successors, assigns,
28 agents, and customers.

- 1 g. A judgment declaring that the '077 patent is unenforceable due to inequitable conduct.
- 2 h. A judgment declaring that this is an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an
- 3 award to GrubHub of its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C.
- 4 §§ 284, 285, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and law.
- 5 i. All such other relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

6

7 DATED: June 15, 2012

ROBERTSON & ASSOCIATES, APC

8

9 By: /s/Les W. Robertson

10 Les W. Robertson

11 Robertson & Associates, APC

12 655 West Broadway, Suite 1410

13 San Diego, CA 92101

14 Tel: (619) 531-7000

15 Fax: (619) 531-7007

16 Attorneys for Defendant

17 GRUBHUB, INC.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28